

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/648,993	08/27/2003	David J. Schneider	P755-2/HSCH 2 00007	4365
27885 FAY SHARPI	7590 12/15/200 ELLP	EXAMINER		
	venue, 5th Floor	ANDERSON, JAMES D		
The Halle Building Cleveland, OH 44115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_								
Application No. 10/648,993		Applicant(s)						
		SCHNEIDER, DAVID J.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614						

	JAMES D. ANDERSON	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (t)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriat	extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expraision date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl							
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi			appeai. Since a				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b They raise new issues that would require further con They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-Co.	mnliant Amendment (PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / inonamont (i	TOL OLT.				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separate,	•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	planation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>43-48 and 50</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:							
	/James D Anderson/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments after Final rejection have been considered but are not persuasive. As a first matter, Applicant states that he may have misuderstood the rejection presented in the previous Office Action (Arsem and Marks in view of Katzen). Applicants asserts that this could be construed as two rejections: Arsem in view of Katzen and Marks in view of Katzen. Applicant is correct that the Examiner is applying ONE 103(a) rejection that combined all three references, i.e., Arsem AND Marks IN VIEW OF Katzen. The basis for the this rejection is that the teachings of both Arsem and Marks are taken in view of the teachings of Katzen. Applicant argues that the recitation of "controlling Darkling beetles" in the preamble should be given patenable weight because it is not merely a statement of intended use, but rather a statement that gives life and meaning to the claims. This is not deemed to be persuasive because the recitation of "controlling Darkling beetles" does NOT require that Drakling beetles be present in the animal habitat being treated. For example, one can "control" Darkling beetles by not allowing them to enter and breed in the animal habitat. As such, treating an animal habitat with trichloromelamine as suggested and motivated by the cited prior art necessarily will have the effect of "controlling Darkling beetles" as recited in the instant claims. Applicant further argues that there is no motivation to apply trichloromelamine based on the references. Applicant argues that the Examiner's motivation is to use the composition of TCM and citric acid disclosed in Marks to treat animal bedding as taught in Katzen. However, Applicant argues that Katzen only requires the acid disclosed in Marks and makes no statement regarding the need to include TCM as well. This is not deemed persuasive because Marks discloses using TCM as a germicidal and disinfectant agent, preferably employed at a pH below 5 by using citric acid as a buffering agent. Katzen discloses treating animal or poultry waste contained in a dropping pit or sedimentation tank of an animal or poultry confinement of holding pen with a sufficient amount of acid to achieve and maintain the animal or poultry waste at a pH of about 4. One skilled in the art would recognize the benefit of applying a composition comprising TCM and citric acid in the methods of Katzen. The skilled artisan would expect that an application of TCM and citric acid to animal bedding would have the effect of not only treating animal waste but would also provide beneficial germicidal and disinfectant effects. Because the cited references teach and suggest applying TCM and citric to maintain a pH of below 4-5, the effect of "controlling Darkling beetles" would be a natural result of such application.